# Public consultation on the ENTSO-E proposals for technical specifications for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms

Fields marked with \* are mandatory.

## Public Consultation ENTSO-E proposals for technical specifications for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms

This consultation is addressed to all interested stakeholders.

Stakeholders are invited to fill out this online survey by 9 August 2020, 23:59 hrs (CEST).

For questions, please contact ACER at: ACER-ELE-2020-014@acer.europa.eu

## Consultation objective and background

This consultation aims to gather stakeholder views on the proposed technical specifications for crossborder participation in capacity mechanisms.

On 3 July 2020, the European Network of Transmission System Operators for Electricity (ENTSO-E) submitted to ACER their proposals for technical specifications for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms pursuant to Article 26(11) of Regulation (EU) 2019/943, and consisting of:

- a methodology for calculating the maximum entry capacity for cross-border participation;
- a methodology for sharing the revenues;
- common rules for the carrying out of availability checks;
- common rules for determining when a non-availability payment is due;
- terms of operation of the ENTSO-E registry; and
- common rules for identifying capacity eligible to participate in the capacity mechanism.

According to Article 26(11), ACER shall approve these proposals based on the procedure set out in Article 27 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943, amending them where required. In order to inform its assessment and if required, identify areas for amendment, ACER invites all interested third parties to submit their views on the proposals by responding to this online survey during a consultation period of 4 weeks.

Following this consultation, ACER will consider stakeholder feedback and expects to take a decision on the proposals, including potential amendments, within the next three months as required by Article 27 of Regulation (EU) 2019/943, i.e. by 5 October 2020.

#### **Related documents**

• ENTSO-E, Cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms: Proposed methodologies, common rules and terms of operation in accordance with Article 26 of the Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast), version of 3 July 2020

(https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Public\_consultations/PC\_2020\_E\_12/200703%20Si ngle%20document%20for%20XB%20CM%20methodologies.pdf)

- ENTSO-E proposed methodologies, common rules and terms of reference related to cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms: Explanatory document, version of 3 July 2020 (https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Public\_consultations/PC\_2020\_E\_12/200703%20Ex planatory%20document%20for%20XB%20CM%20methodologies.pdf)
- ENTSO-E, Public consultation on draft methodologies and common rules for cross-border participation in capacity mechanisms: Response to public consultation comments received during the consultation held from 31 January to 13 March 2020, version of 3 July 2020 (https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Public\_consultations/PC\_2020\_E\_12/200703%20R esponse%20to%20public%20consultation%20on%20XB%20CM%20methodologies.pdf)
- Regulation (EU) 2019/942 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 establishing a European Union Agency for the Cooperation of Energy Regulators (recast) (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=CELEX%3A32019R0942)
- Regulation (EU) 2019/943 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 5 June 2019 on the internal market for electricity (recast) (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/? uri=CELEX%3A32019R0943)
- ACER Guidance Note on Consultations (https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Other%20documents/Guidance%20Note%20on%20 Consultations%20by%20ACER.pdf)
- ACER Rules of Procedure (AB Decision No 19/2019) (https://www.acer.europa.eu/en/The\_agency/Organisation/Administrative\_Board/Administrative%20B oard%20Decision/Decision%20No%2019%20-%202019%20-%20Rules%20of%20Procedure%20of%20the%20Agency.pdf)

## Contact details

#### \*Name and surname

Peter Claes

#### \*Company

IFIEC Europe

#### \*Address

Av Louise 250 - B-1050 Brussels

#### \* Country

Belgium

#### \*Phone

+32496593620

## Privacy and confidentiality

ACER will publish all non-confidential responses, including the names of the respondents, unless they should be considered as confidential, and it will process personal data of the respondents in accordance with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 (https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/? uri=CELEX%3A32018R1725) of 23 October 2018 on the protection of natural persons with regard to the processing of personal data by the Union institutions, bodies, offices and agencies and on the free movement of such data, taking into account that this processing is necessary for performing ACER's consultation task. For more details on how the contributions and the personal data of the respondents will be dealt with, please see ACER's Guidance Note on Consultations

(https://www.acer.europa.eu/Official\_documents/Other%20documents/Guidance%20Note%20on%20Consu ltations%20by%20ACER.pdf) and the specific privacy statement attached to this consultation.

Article 7(4) of ACER's Rules of Procedure (RoP) (https://s-

intranet/Drive/Departments/Electricity/ED%20Deliverables/Decision%20No%2019%20-%202019%20-%20Rules%20of%20Procedure%20of%20the%20Agency.pdf#search=rules%20of%20procedures)requires that a party participating in an ACER public consultation explicitly indicates whether its submission contains confidential information.

#### \* Is your submission to this consultation confidential?

- YES
- NO

### Consultation questions

ACER seeks the opinion of stakeholders with respect to the following elements of the ENTSO-E proposal.

#### Methodology for calculating the maximum entry capacity

1. Do you agree with the proposed methodology for calculating the maximum entry capacity for cross-border participation? If not, please explain which elements of the methodology should be changed or otherwise improved.

IFIEC largely agrees with the ENTSO-e proposal, and appreciates the efforts done by ENTSO-e to clarify its proposal and make the methodology more transparent compared to the consultation documents earlier this year. Compared to its reactions to this consultation, IFIEC would like to make the following additional comments:

- As ENTSO-e rightly states, the divergent scopes, goals and design of (existing and planned) national CRMs strongly complicate cross-border participation. While IFIEC, above all, hopes CRMs will be less needed in the future as market integration and functioning further improve, it would also like to underline the need to better align different CRMs design in order to facilitate cross-border participation and to reduce system cost to the benefit of electricity consumers.

- ENTSO-e warns that using the proposed methodology could lead to counterintuitive (simulation) results. IFIEC Is very surprised, though, to see some of the results, and very particularly that maximum entry capacity on some borders is significantly lower than the results of the long term capacity (e.g. early) auctions for the corresponding borders. If these results are "firm" (as they are covered by LTA inclusion), the maximum entry capacity for cross-border participation to CRMs should, for each border, at least be equal to the crossborder capacity made available for the market for the corresponding timeframe (especially also since long term capacity rights are FTRs or should be so in the near future). IFIEC invites TSOs to consider this argument and to accept auction results for long term cross-border capacity as a minimum value for the maximum entry capacity on each border.

Note : IFIEC assumes that capacities under a CRM are (contrarily to those contracted e.g. in strategic reserves) available in the market.

2. Should the methodology allow for calculating capacity contributions from Member States with no direct network connection with the Member State applying the capacity mechanism?

Yes, IFIEC supports this possibility within the interconnected European grid.

#### Methodology for sharing the revenues from the allocation of entry capacity

3. Do you agree with the proposed methodology for sharing the revenues from allocating entry capacity? If not, please explain which elements of the methodology should be changed or otherwise improved.

On this issue, IFIEC refers to our comments already made during the ENTSO-e consultation. As said in the first question, IFIEC hopes that CRMs will become less important in the future and proposes that CRM market designs are better aligned in the future to facilitate XB participation and reduce cost for electricity consumers. In general the revenue sharing mechanism should also follow these principles: it should give incentives for both TSOs/interconnector owners to make their capacity available for XB participation and make XB CRMs efficient and simple for capacity market participants in order to create competition on the capacity market and reduce cost for electricity consumers.

#### Common rules for the carrying out of availability checks

4. Do you agree with the proposed common rules for the carrying out of availability checks? If not, please explain which elements of the proposed rules should be changed or otherwise improved.

```
On this issue, IFIEC has no other comments on top of those already made during the ENTSO-e consultation.
```

#### Common rules for determining when a non-availability payment is due

5. Do you agree with the proposed common rules for determining when a non-availability payment is due? If not, please explain which elements of the proposed rules should be changed or otherwise improved.

#### Terms of the operation of the ENTSO-E registry

6. Do you agree with the proposed terms of the operation of the ENTSO-E registry? If not, please explain which elements of the proposed terms should be changed or otherwise improved.

#### Common rules for identifying capacity eligible to participate in the capacity mechanism

7. Do you agree with the proposed common rules for identifying capacity eligible to participate in the capacity mechanism? If not, please explain which elements of the proposed rules should be changed or otherwise improved.

#### General provisions and other comments

8. Do you agree with the general provisions of the ENTSO-E proposals (Title 1)? If not, please specify which provisions should be changed or otherwise improved, and explain why.

9. Do you have any other comments on the ENTSO-E proposals that we should take into account in our assessment?

-

\_

#### Contact

ACER-ELE-2020-014@acer.europa.eu